arXiv:1610.05248v1 [math.RT] 17 Oct 2016

A REMARK ABOUT 6J SYMBOLS AND YOUNG SEMI-NORMAL FORM

DANIEL BARTER

1. Introduction

A semi-simple tensor category is determined up to equivalence by its Grothendieck ring and its $6j$ symbols with respect to a set of tree basis vectors. The $6j$ symbols are the coordinate representation of the associator. Despite their importance, we only know explicit formulas for $6j$ symbols in a few special cases. In the $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ and $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ cases, explicit formulas for the $6j$-symbols are computed in [CFS95]. As far as the author is aware, the only other case where explicit $6j$-symbols are known is G-graded vector spaces for G a finite group. In this case, associators are cohomology classes in $H^3(G, \mathbb{C}^\times)$.

In this note, we compute a large number of $6j$ symbols inside the tensor category consisting of polynomial representations of $\mathrm{GL}(\infty)$. This tensor category is studied in detail by Sam and Snowden in [SS16, SS15, SS12]. More precisely, we have:

Theorem 1. *Let $\lambda \subseteq \mu$ be partitions such that $\mu\backslash\lambda$ has two boxes not contained in a single row or column. Then*

$$(j_\mu^{\lambda,\square,\square})^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{k}{k+1} & \frac{-k}{k-1} \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Where k is the axial distance in the skew partition $\mu\backslash\lambda$. These $6j$ symbols are uniquely defined up to scaling.

We describe computations in tensor categories using string diagrams. A good introduction to string diagrams is [Sel11]. For a complete and rigorous introduction to tensor categories, we direct the reader to [EGNO15].

The author would like to thank Corey Jones and Scott Morrison for many useful conversations and their support.

2. What are 6j symbols?

Definition 2. Let $\mathbf{X}$ be a semi-simple tensor category. Index the simple objects with a set Λ. Choose a basis for each $\mathbf{X}(\mu, \lambda \otimes \nu)$ denoted by



Date: October 18, 2016.

and let



be the dual basis of $\mathbf{X}(\lambda \otimes \nu, \mu)$. We call these diagrams **tree string diagrams**. It is important to notice that the tree string diagrams are not canonically defined.

Definition 3. Pick a distinguished simple object $X \in \mathbf{X}$. The **fusion graph** of X has vertices Λ and the edges from λ to μ are the distinguished basis vectors in $\mathbf{X}(\mu, \lambda \otimes X)$.

Proposition 4. *Fix $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Then $\mathbf{X}(\lambda, X^{\otimes n})$ has dimension the number of length n paths from the tensor unit to λ in the fusion graph for X. Moreover, an. explicit basis is given by string diagrams of the form*



we call such string diagrams **tree basis vectors**

Proof. Decompose $X^{\otimes n}$ using the fusion graph for X. □

Definition 5. Since $\mathbf{X}$ is semi-simple, the Artin-Wedderburn theorem implies that $\mathrm{End}(X^{\otimes n})$ is a product of matrix algebras. Proposition 4 implies that in the tree string basis, the matrix units in $\mathrm{End}(X^{\otimes n})$ look like



Equivalently, the irreducible representations of $\mathrm{End}(X^{\otimes n})$ are parameterized by the simple objects in $\mathbf{X}$ which have a length n path from the tensor unit in the fusion graph for X. The string diagrams defined in proposition 4 form a basis for the corresponding representation.

Definition 6. Fix $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \mu \in \Lambda$. Then we have two bases for $\mathbf{X}(\mu, \lambda_1 \otimes \lambda_2 \otimes \lambda_3)$:



The $6j$ symbols are the entries in the change of basis matrix $(j_\mu^{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3})_{f_1,f_2}^{e_1,e_2}$. In other words, they are a coordinate representation of the associator. They must satisfy some algebraic relations which correspond to the pentagon axiom and the unit axiom. From the $6j$-symbols and the Grothendieck ring, you can recover the tensor category. Therefore, the $6j$-symbols are coordinates on the moduli stack of semi-simple tensor categories with a fixed Grothendieck ring.

3. Young Semi-normal form

Definition 7. Let $\mathbf{X}$ be a semi-simple tensor category with distinguished object X. If $\sigma \in \mathrm{End}(X^{\otimes 2})$ then we have



We call the matrix $m(\sigma)$ a **semi-normal form** for σ.

Definition 8. We define the category S which has objects the natural numbers and morphisms

$$S(m,n) = \begin{cases} S_m & m = n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

where S_n is the symmetric group with simple reflections $g_1, \ldots, g_{n-1}$. The inclusion $S_m \otimes S_n \to S_{m+n}$ defined by $g_i \otimes g_j \mapsto g_i g_{m+j}$ equips S with a tensor structure. We define $\mathcal{S} \subseteq [S^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathbf{Vec}]$ to be the idempotent completion of S. The monoidal structure on S extends to $\mathcal{S}$ via day convolution. The category $\mathcal{S}$ can be described as the polynomial representations of $\mathrm{GL}(\infty)$ as defined by Sam and Snowden in [SS16]. The Grothendieck ring for $\mathcal{S}$ has basis given by partitions and multiplication given by the Littlewood-Richardson rule. A special case of the

Littlewood-Richardson rule is the Pieri rule:

$$\lambda \otimes \square = \sum_{\lambda \subset \mu \vdash n+1} \mu$$

This implies that the tree basis vectors



are in bijection (upto scaling) with standard skew tableaux of shape $\mu\backslash\lambda$. We shall abuse notation and identify these tree basis vectors with the corresponding standard skew tableaux. Suppose that $\lambda \subseteq \mu \vdash n+2$ are partitions such that $\mu\backslash\lambda$ is not contained in a single row or column. Then there are exactly two partitions which satisfy $\lambda \subseteq \nu \subseteq \mu$. Call them ν and ν'. The multiplicity space $\mathcal{S}(\lambda \otimes \square \otimes \square, \mu)$ is 2-dimensional with basis



The semi-normal form for g_1 is well known:

$$m(g_1) = \begin{pmatrix} -1/k & 1 \\ 1 - 1/k^2 & 1/k \end{pmatrix}$$

where k is the axial distance in $\mu\backslash\lambda$:



Identify the trivalent vertex e with the matrix unit



inside $\mathrm{End}(X^{\otimes 2})$. Consider the action of e on the two bases



On the left basis, e acts via the semi-normal form $m(e)$. On the right basis, e is a projection. Inside $\mathcal{S}$, this implies the matrix of eigenvectors vectors of $m(\square\square) = 1/2(e + m(g_1))$ equals $(j_\mu^{\lambda,\square\square})^{-1}$. Therefore we have

$$(j_\mu^{\lambda,\square\square})^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{k}{k+1} & \frac{-k}{k-1} \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This proves theorem 1.

References

[CFS95] J. Scott Carter, Daniel E. Flath, and Masahico Saito. *The classical and quantum 6j-symbols*, volume 43 of *Mathematical Notes*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1995.

[EGNO15] Pavel Etingof, Shlomo Gelaki, Dmitri Nikshych, and Victor Ostrik. *Tensor categories*, volume 205 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2015.

[Sel11] P. Selinger. A survey of graphical languages for monoidal categories. In *New structures for physics*, volume 813 of *Lecture Notes in Phys.*, pages 289–355. Springer, Heidelberg, 2011.

[SS12] Steven V Sam and Andrew Snowden. Introduction to twisted commutative algebras. 2012.

[SS15] Steven V. Sam and Andrew Snowden. Stability patterns in representation theory. *Forum Math. Sigma*, 3:e11, 108, 2015.

[SS16] Steven V. Sam and Andrew Snowden. GL-equivariant modules over polynomial rings in infinitely many variables. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 368(2):1097–1158, 2016.